IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT U.S. DISTRICT COURT  COURT TOO THE DISTRICT OF TEXAS
COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
0CT 1 5 2019 _
Darius 7. Vaxter ) ( DAVID J MALAND, CLERK
) DEPUTY
V3. )( CASE No: 1:10-CR-110
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)(
MOTION TO DISMISS COUNCIL
CONFORMATION
COMES NOW, JAMES ALVINGODEREY DEFENDANT AND FILE THIS MOTION TO DISMISS COUNCIL ACCORDING TO
"CALIFORNIA V. FARETTA 422 LIS, 806 (1975)" AND TO "THE AMERICANI BAR ASSOCIATION'S STANDARDS FOR CRIMINAL
JUSTICE: THE DEFENISE FUNCTION INSTRUCTS THAT COUNSI
MUST ESTABLIST A RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS OR HER CLIENT
THE DEFENSE FUNCTION & 4-31. COUNSEL MUST PROMPTIN
PURSUE AMAILABLE PROCEDURE AND ENGAGE THIS A MOT
PRACTICE TO PROTECT HIS OR HER CLIENT'S RIGHTS. 6
THE DEFENCE FUNCTIONS 4-3.6. COUNSEL MUST CONDUCT A
PROMPT I NIVESTIGHTION OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE
CASE AND EXPLORE ALL AVENIUES LEADING TO FACTS RELEV
TO THE MERITS OF THE CASE AND THE PENALTY, INCLUDING
EFFORTS TO SECURE INFORMATION IN THE POSSESSION OF
THE PKDSECUTION AND LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES.
THE DEFENSE FUNCTION 64-41. AND DUE TO A LACK OF
INTEREST BY DEFENSE COUNSEL, HE HAS NO KNOWLEDGE
OF THE EVIDENCE THATTS PURSUANT TO MY CASE, OWN MY BEHALE WHICH COULD MATERIALLY AFFECTED THE
DUILDINE OF THIS CASE DEFENDANT THEREFORE MOVE THE COURT FOR THE REASON MENTIONED AROUE TO
DISMISS THE COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL
THE WIND THE WINDEL